

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	- FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/661,805	09/12/2003	Kishore Swaminathan	10022/385	7380	
		7590 04/17/2007 CHICAGO 28164	2007		EXAMINER	
	BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE		•	PARDO, THUY N		
	P O BOX 1039 CHICAGO, IL	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2165		
					•	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				04/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/661,805	SWAMINATHAN ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
*	Thuy N. Pardo	2165	:		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			ross		
• •			7ess		
	IE REPLY FILED 21 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).  on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	g date of the final rejecting FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee		
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3.	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further con</li> </ol>			ecause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i E below),			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	•		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		,		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	will not be entered, or b)      will will will will will will will	l be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17 and 20-30</u> .		•			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and		
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
		•			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner believes that Glass teaches the invention substantially as claimed, comprising determining a level of a document similarity by comparing the inclassified document to a predetermined threshold [ab] and storing a data representative by assigning a topic classification or a sample message associated with handprint information for the new message [0340; 0374-0376] and a hypertext linked URLto related files [0341]. Delic teaches that the document repository is automatically updated by the system [see fig. 3; 0037-0044].

THUY N. PARDO PRIMARY EXAMINER